## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

STAAS & HALSEY LLP **SUITE 700** 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. **WASHINGTON DC 20005** 

MAILED JUL 0 6 2010 OFFICE OF PETITIONS

**DECISION ON PETITION** 

In re Application of

Kousetsu Sai

Application No. 10/763,275

Filed: January 26, 2004

Attorney Docket No. 1466.1084

This is a decision on the petition, filed April 19, 2010, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.181 (no fee) requesting withdrawal of the holding of abandonment in the aboveidentified application.

## The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application was held abandoned for failure to reply to the nonfinal Office action mailed September 11, 2010, which set a three (3) month shortened statutory period for reply. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on March 19, 2010.

Petitioner asserts that the Office action dated September 11, 2009 was not received.

A review of the written record indicates no irregularity in the mailing of the Office action, and, in the absence of any irregularity, there is a strong presumption that the Office action was properly mailed to the practitioner at the address of record. This presumption may be overcome by a showing that the Office action was not in fact received. In this regard, the showing required to establish the failure to receive the Office action must consist of the following:

- 1. a statement from practitioner stating that the Office action was not received by the practitioner;
- 2. a statement from the practitioner attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office action was not received;

- 3. a statement from the practitioner describing the system used for recording an Office action received at the correspondence address of record with the USPTO. The statement should establish that the docketing system is sufficiently reliable, and
- 4. a copy of the practitioner's record(s) required to show non-receipt of the Office action should include the master docket for the firm. That is, if a three month period for reply was set in the nonreceived Office action, a copy of the master docket report showing all replies docketed for a date three months from the mail date of the nonreceived Office action must be submitted as documentary proof of nonreceipt of the Office action. If no such master docket exists, the practitioner should so state and provide other evidence such as, but not limited to, the following: the application file jacket; incoming mail log; calendar; reminder system; or the individual docket record for the application in question.

See MPEP § 711.03(c) under subheading "Petition to Withdraw Holding of Abandonment Based on Failure to Receive Office Action," and "Withdrawing the Holding of Abandonment When Office Actions Are Not Received," 1156 Official Gazette 53 (November 16, 1993).

The petition satisfies the above-stated requirements. Accordingly, the application was not abandoned in fact.

In view of the above, the Notice of Abandonment is hereby <u>vacated</u> and the holding of abandonment <u>withdrawn</u>.

This application is being referred to the Technology Center technical support staff of Art Unit 2434 for re-mailing the Office action of September 11, 2009. The period for reply will run from the mailing date of the Office action.

/KOC/ Karen Creasy Petitions Examiner Office of Petitions 571-272-3208